



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/594,574

09/27/2006

Motohisa Kamijo

040356-0595

6805

22428 7590 08/26/2010
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

AKRAM, IMRAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/26/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/594,574	Applicant(s) KAMIJO ET AL.	
	Examiner IMRAN AKRAM	Art Unit 1795	

All Participants:

(1) Imran Akram.

(2) Sandy Smith.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2010

Time: 10:30am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexa D. Neckel/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that the amendment filed 6/14/10 is a non-compliant amendment because of the use of single brackets to delete the word "between" in line 10 of claim 21. Applicant responded by saying a correction would be filed today, striking out the word "between" as it is over 5 characters..